



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,634	04/27/2001	Alexander Ivanovich Taran	VALER12.001A	1850

7590 11/05/2002

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear
Sixteenth Floor
620 Newport Center Drive
Newport Beach, CA 92660

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

NORRIS, JEREMY C

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2827

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/830,634	TARAN ET AL.
	Examiner Jeremy C. Norris	Art Unit 2827

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 9-19 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 13-19 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 9-12 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in–
 - (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
 - (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

McCormack et al (US 6,054,761).

McCormack et al (hereafter McCormack) disclose, referring to figure 2(b), a multilayered connection plate, comprising: a plurality of layers of a dielectric material (70 & 80) having conductive paths on their surfaces, the layers being connection layers; and a plurality of contact nodes in the form of metallized contacts mutually aligned and interconnected electrically and mechanically by a conductive binding material (81), wherein the contact nodes are made in the form of joints between the contacts, some of which contacts are contact pads (73) coupled with conductive paths of the underlying connection layer, and other contacts aligned with the contact pads are made in the form of metallized holes (74) formed as truncated cones in the upper-lying connection layer, the narrower bases of the truncated cones being faced to the contact pads of the underlying connection layer, and the wider bases of the truncated cones being coupled

with the conductive paths on the upper side of the upper-lying connection layer [claim 9], wherein the contact pads are flat [claim 10], wherein the upper bases of the truncated cones coupled with the conductive paths on the surface of the connection layer are made with metallized rims around the periphery of the bases [claim 11].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormack.

McCormack discloses the claimed invention as described above except McCormack does not specifically state that the diameter D of the greater base of the truncated cone, the width h of the metallized rim, the diameter d of the lesser base of

the truncated cone, the thickness t of the dielectric material of the connection layer and the minimal width L of the respective contact pad on the underlying connection layer are coupled with the following relationship: $L > D + 2h = d + 2t + 2h$. However, it would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to form the invention in such a manner since Applicant has not stated that such a relationship solves any particular problem. Moreover, it has been held that more than a mere change of form is necessary for patentability. *Span-Deck, Inc v. Fab-Con, Inc.* (CA 8, 1982) 215 USPQ 835.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-19 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 13 states the limitation "the contact nodes comprise metallized holes in the form of truncated cones made in the upper-lying connection layer, metallized through holes in the insulating layer, and contact pads coupled by conductive binding material on the underlying connection layer". This limitation, in conjunction with the other claimed features was neither found to be disclosed in, nor suggested by the prior art. Claim 17 states the combination of limitations "a first node provided through the first connection layer and having a metallized hole, an inner surface of which is connected to the conductive path of the first connection layer, and a contact pad connected with the conductive path of the second connection layer, wherein a conductive binding material is deposited in the metallized hole to be in contact with the inner surface of the metallized hole and the contact pad so as to form a connection between the first and

second connection layers" and "a second node provided through the second connection layer having a metallized hole, an inner surface of which is connected to the conductive path of the second connection layer, and a contact pad connected with the conductive path of the third connection layer, wherein a conductive binding material is deposited in the metallized hole to be in contact with the inner surface of the metallized hole and the contact pad so as to form connection between the second and third connection layers".

These limitations, in conjunction with the other claimed features were neither found to be disclosed in, nor suggested by the prior art. Claim 18 states the limitation "wherein a conductive binding material is deposited in the metallized hole and the metallized through hole to be in contact with each inner surface of the metallized hole and the metallized through hole and the contact pad so as to form connection between the first and second connection layers". This limitation, in conjunction with the other claimed features was neither found to be disclosed in, nor suggested by the prior art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 27 August 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments hinge on the contention that McCormack fails to teach or disclose contacts formed as pads. However, it is clear that McCormack does indeed teach contact pads (see col. 12, lines 20-25). Therefore, the traversal on these grounds is deemed unsuccessful.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 703-306-5737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Th., 9AM - 6:30 PM and alt. Fri. 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0725 for regular communications and 703-308-0725 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JCSN
November 4, 2002

Albert W. Paladini 11-4-02
ALBERT W. PALADINI
PRIMARY EXAMINER